

Human Embryonic Stem Cells

Introduction

- Components of organs
 - Parenchyma: functional cells
 - Stroma: connective tissue
- Regeneration vs. repair
 - Regeneration: replacement from parenchyma
 - Repair: filling in the gap by fibrous scar tissue

Regeneration

- Labile tissues
 - High rate of cell loss, therefore constant turnover by mitosis
 - Red bone marrow, lymphoid tissues, some epithelial tissues
 - Means simply increasing the normally high mitotic rate
 - Many precursor cells (stem cells) present in tissues

Regeneration (cont.)

- Stable tissues
 - Cells function throughout life, high mitosis rate not normally required
 - But these tissues can increase their mitotic rate if the need arises
 - Examples: some glands, liver

Regeneration (cont.)

- Permanent tissues
 - Lose mitotic activity shortly after birth (come from differentiation of stem cells)
 - Example: nervous, cardiac, skeletal
 - When cells destroyed, lost tissues replaced by scarring

Human embryonic stem cells

- Pluripotent cells: not yet differentiated into mature cells
- Still mitotic and undifferentiated
- Advantages of using stem cells:
 - Can be programmed along one developmental pathway
 - Not likely to be rejected immunologically (fewer surface markers)
 - May perhaps grow into new nerve or muscle cells

The Utilitarian Medical Case

- Chronic diseases that might be helped:
 - Diabetes mellitus
 - Spinal cord injury
 - Replace neurons
 - Replace glial cells
 - Parkinson's disease
 - Heart disease (heart muscle does not regenerate)
- Frozen embryos available (will be discarded anyway)

Ethical Issues

- Sanctity of Life

- If frozen embryos are persons, then using them = abortion
- Not life or death decision (most diseases that are candidates for procedure not immediately life-threatening)

- Moral Conflict:

- Beneficence to the sick (relieving suffering)
- Non-maleficence (destroying embryonic human life)
- Justifying the taking of human life for a “greater good” is a high moral burden
- No moral basis beyond simple utilitarianism.

Moral Accountability

- Since the embryos are going to be “thrown away” anyway, might as well “do some good.”
 - Denies inherent dignity
 - If a person consents to the destruction of human embryos to meet a medical need of his own (or for someone he loves), he is morally accountable for that act, even if he personally does not perform the action himself

Moral Accountability Analysis

- If *not* human persons: not much debate
 - Moral accountability is shared with lab tech who flushes them down the drain
 - Moral culpability:
 - “Disrespect for valuable property,”
 - “Negligent disregard for biological tissue,” etc.

Moral Accountability Analysis (cont.)

- If embryos *ARE* human persons: serious moral dilemma
- Moral accountability
 - Shared with the lab tech or doctor
 - Involves the taking of innocent human life, which would
 - In a legal sense = a capital crime
- Remaining question:
 - Grant personhood for sake of argument
 - What if they are going to be destroyed anyway?

Summary of Moral Issues in Stem Cell Research

- Sanctity of life
 - Destroying embryos is destroying a person
 - No “greater good” can justify this (strictly utilitarian)
- Moral complicity
 - BOTH person who destroys the embryo,
 - AND those who receive treatment from it
 - are morally culpable for taking a life
- Moral facilitation
 - Producing a market for a product by using it
 - Comparable to buying Nike sneakers
 - Admittedly indirect moral complicity