## APPENDIX W

**Field Director’s Agency Evaluation**

Cedarville University Social Work Program

Agency: Click here to enter text.

Agency Address: Click here to enter text.

Agency Phone Number: Click here to enter text.

Field Instructor: Click here to enter text.

Semester: Choose an item. Year: Choose an item.

Directions: In an ongoing effort to assess the quality of the social work program, the field director is to complete the following evaluation. The response items range from 1 to 5 (1 reflecting you strongly disagree and 5 reflecting you strongly agree). Please circle the response that reflects your opinion.

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Strongly Disagree** |  | **Agree** |  | **Strongly Agree** |
| 1. The agency encouraged and arranged for the field instructor to attend orientation and other training opportunities that the university scheduled | | | | |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. The agency participated in the reading and signing of the affiliation agreement | | | | |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. The agency allowed ample time for the field instructor to meet the terms of the affiliation agreement | | | | |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. The agency adhered to the NASW Code of Ethics | | | | |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. The agency adhered to the terms of the affiliation agreement (i.e. discrimination, sexual harassment, and mutual responsibilities) | | | | |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. The agency provided a field instructor who met the terms of the university (Appendix A) | | | | |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. The agency provided the student with a social work experience that met the outcomes for the student’s Learning Contract | | | | |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. The agency provided a learning environment for the student | | | | |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. The agency provided a generalist social work experience for the student | | | | |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. The agency is in compliance with application laws and regulations | | | | |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. The agency respected the confidentiality of the clientele it serves | | | | |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. The agency was open to a faith-based perspective | | | | |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. The agency is conveniently located and accessible to the student and the clients it serves | | | | |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. The agency’s facilities are accessible to people with disabilities | | | | |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. The agency is sensitive to diversity | | | | |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. The agency has integrity and its ethical practices are known in the community | | | | |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. The agency provided a poverty focus | | | | |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. The agency agreed to have the name of their organization placed in the Field Directory | | | | |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. This was an excellent agency | | | | |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. I would recommend this agency to another social work student | | | | |
|  |  |  |  |  |

What were the agency=s greatest strengths?

Click here to enter text.

What were the agency=s greatest weaknesses?

Click here to enter text.

Comments:

Click here to enter text.

Field Director Signature: Click here to enter text.

Date: Click here to enter a date.